

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/842,549	BAER, RICHARD L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carramah J. Quiett	2622

All Participants:

Status of Application: APPEAL BRIEF

(1) Carramah J. Quiett (Patent Examiner). (3) _____.

(2) Paul Horstmann (Applicant's Representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2006

Time: 3:20 pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 5, and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called Applicant's Representative to propose an Examiner's Amendment to claims 1, 5, and 9. The Applicant's Representative has agreed to the items listed in the Examiner's Amendment (office action date June 19, 2006).

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

NGOC-YEN VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)